

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,935	04/19/2005	Stephane Auberger	FR 020109	4500
24737 7:	590 10/05/2006		EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			SANTIAGO, ENRIQUE L	
P.O. BOX 3001		,		
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2628	
		DATE MAILED: 10/05/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commence	10/531,935	AUBERGER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Enrique L. Santiago	2628					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 A	pril 2005						
	s action is non-final.						
· <u>-</u>		psecution as to the marits is					
<i>,</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
·	Ex parto quayio, 1000 0.5. 11, 40	JO 0.0. 210.					
Disposition of Claims							
I)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	= ' '	• •					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 3. Stage 3. Stage 3. Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
• •	` ''	nd.					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Delice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 6-12 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim shall refer to such other claims in the alternative only. A multiple dependent claim shall not serve as a basis for any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims have not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Xu et al. US Publication no. 2004/0240725 A1.

-Regarding claim 1, Xu et al. teaches a method for post-processing a digital video signal (see [110], [112]), said digital video signal having a plurality of views with associated disparity maps (see figs. 7 and 8, [119] and [199]), characterized in that it comprises a first step of generating a projected disparity map from an original disparity map (see fig. 8, 20, [0198]), and a second step of filling holes within said projected disparity map (see fig. 8, 20, [0193]-[0196]), the first step comprising the sub-step of removing isolated projected pixels on said projected disparity map by first filtering them (see fig. 8, [0058], [0126]-[0128], [0152], [0175]-[0179]).

Application/Control Number: 10/531,935

Art Unit: 2628

-Regarding claim 2, Xu teaches a method wherein the first filtering is performed by

selection of isolated projected pixels that are surrounded by holes (see fig. 16, [0179], [0189] and

[0193]).

-Regarding claim 3, Xu teaches a method characterized in that the first filtering is

performed by selection of isolated projected pixels, which have no coherent characteristics with

the ones of surrounding pixels (see [0179], [0189] and [0193]).

-Regarding claim 4, Xu teaches a method characterized in that the first filtering applies to

both projection directions of the projected disparity map (see [0112], [0179], [0189] and [0193]).

-Regarding claim 5, Xu teaches a method characterized in that the first filtering

comprises a sub-step of changing the disparity map projection magnitude (see [0134], [0135] and

[0136]).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

US patent no. 7,085,409 B2

US patent no. 6,714,665 B1

US patent no. 6,661,918 B1

US patent no. 5,982,390

US Pub. no. 2002/0024516 A1

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/531,935 Page 4

Art Unit: 2628

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Enrique L Santiago whose telephone number is (571) 272-7648. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 6:30 A.M. to 4:30 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark K. Zimmerman whose telephone number is (571) 272-7653, can be reached on Monday to Friday from 7:00 A.M. to 3:30 P.M.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Faxed to: 571-273-8300

Hand carried deliveries must be made to the Customer Service Window (now located at the Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314)

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Enrique L. Santiago

September 28, 2006

MARK ZIMMERMAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Mark yur